

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/699,022	10/31/2003	Guenter Weinberger	29083/44157	2140	
	7590 05/16/2007	EXAMINER			
Barnes & Thornburg LLP Suite 900 750 17th Street, NW Washington, DC 20006-4675			WANG, ALBERT C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2115		
			MAII DATE	DEL MEDY MODE	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	05/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/699,022	WEINBERGER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Albert Wang	2115	

	Albert Wang	2115	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence ado	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>30 April 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notic wing replies: (1) an amendmen tice of Appeal (with appeal fee	e of Appeal. To avoid aba t, affidavit, or other evider) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date		forth in the final rejection, wh	ichover is later. In
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the m	ailing date of the final reject	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding ame shortened statutory period for reply r than three months after the mailin	ount of the fee. The approproring originally set in the final Office (to be seen as a second control of the final Office (iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ly reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☑ They present additional claims without canceling a		y rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		- Committeet Amondmont	(DTOL 224)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		1-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		ate, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro 		will be entered and an	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-12 and 14-21</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing ad sufficient reasons why the af	a Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u> fidavit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under a	ppeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 		·	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be	ut does NOT place the applicat	ion in condition for allowa	nce because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		_
		THOMAS LEE	AINICD
	SUF	ERVISORY PATENT EXA	MINEN
	-	ECHNOLOGY CENTER 2	JUU

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitation "further based at least in part on the power indicator", in the independent claims, raises new isssues that require further search and/or consideration.